

|
by V S

Submission date: 15-Jun-2021 09:47AM (UTC-0500)

Submission ID: 1606955461

File name: Syllogism_Analysis_1.edited.docx (14.08K)

Word count: 599

Character count: 3299

Syllogism Analysis

Name

Institution

Course

Instructor

Date

Syllogism Analysis

Syllogism 1

All university scholars are mandated to attend a humanities lecture and critical thinking is a humanities course; thus, all college scholars attend critical thinking classes. I think that syllogism is inductive. I consider it inductive for the reason that one of the pieces of evidence is possible. However, it is also conceivable for the deduction to be untruthful. This fact holds ground because other than taking critical thinking; there is the possibility for students to take other humanity classes.

Similarly, I do not consider the syllogism to be deductively valid since some properties might not be accurate, making the conclusion false. The premises that could be false are that all school scholars are obligated to take humanity classes; thus, every college learner studies critical thinking. Finally, the inference that critical thinking is a humanity course is supported by the premise that it is a class of humanities, which could be truthful.

Syllogism 2

The syllogism suggests that every college learner studies and Ernie is a university scholar; thus, Ernie studies. I consider that the syllogism is deductive since it has been fashioned from an overall to a precise premise and broad certainty appeals. Additionally, the premises offer strong support for the inference Ernie studies, which would be impossibly false. Similarly, I believe that the syllogism is deductively valid since the evidence is factual; hence the conclusion is also proper. In addition, the argument assumes the actuality of the deduction since the premises are actual. Therefore, concluding that Ernie studies because of the premises suggesting all college scholars study, and Ernie is one of the college students holds ground. Lastly, the

premises in this syllogism also provide such strong support for the conclusion because it is not probable that the conclusion can be false due to the actual premises.

Syllogism 3

This syllogism premises state that every college scholars attend lectures and that Cindy attends classes; thus, Cindy is a college learner. I believe that this syllogism is deductive since the inference deduced grounded on the principles is factual and accepted to be true. Moreover, I also believe that this syllogism is deductively valid because all the valid premises generated an accurate conclusion. Additionally, I also believe that this syllogism is deductively valid because it satisfies the requirement that, for an argument to be considered deductively valid, the premises should be accurate and guaranteeing the. Cindy is a college student, which is supported by the premises. Therefore, the conclusion that Cindy is a university student is defended by the factual foundation that all college learners go to class and that Cindy attends classes.

Syllogism 4

Two ladies hand in a task and the two assignments are undistinguishable; thus, they worked on their projects together. This syllogism is deductive. Therefore, the syllogism is deductively valid since the properties support the inference. There are possibilities that the two girls worked on the assignment together, which is supported by the premise suggesting that their assignments were identical. Likewise, since this syllogism's truth of the deduction is entirely definite and not just made by the reality of the premises, the conclusion is contained within the premises meaning that the inference does not exceed beyond what the premises implicitly necessitate.

Consequently, this argument leans significantly towards the formal logic, concluding that the two girls worked on the assignment together, explaining why their assignments are identical. This statement is because it is logically correct to assume that the two assignments were only identified. After all, the two girls joined their thoughts in tackling the work.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

0%

SIMILARITY INDEX

0%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography Off

Exclude matches Off